

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application

Inventors:

For:

Rodriguez Sarmiento, et al.

Group: 1624

Serial No. 10/734,949, filed December 13, 2003

Examiner: Truong, T. N.

(Ref. No. 21247)

3H-QUINAZOLIN-4-ONE DERIVATIVES AS MAO-B INHIBITORS

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Nutley, New Jersey 07110 Date: June 15, 2006

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Reasons for Allowance state that U.S. Patent No. 6,890,930 to Mederski et al. generically encompasses the claimed invention, but does not render it obvious because the generic teaching is too diffuse and none of the exemplified species teach or suggest the combination of a benzyloxy group at the 7th position with the substituents represented by R¹ and R³.

Applicants have reviewed the '930 patent, and, while the Reasons for Allowance appear applicable to claim 38 and those claims depending therefrom, Applicants note that the compounds of claim 1 are not encompassed by the reference. The compounds of claim 1 and

Serial No. 10/734,949

Filed: December 13, 2003

those claims that depend therefrom differ from the compounds of the '930 patent a the 3rd position. In particular, the only option where R¹ of the instant claims contains an amine group is where the amine is present in the form of an amide, -CONR⁵R⁶. In contrast, the compounds of the '930 patent do not contain an amide group at the 3rd position.

Respectfully submitted,

Kimberly J. I

Attorney for Applicant(s)

(Reg. No. 41,483)

340 Kingsland Street

Nutley, New Jersey 07110 Telephone: (973) 235-6208

Telefax: (973) 235-2363

231405